*Global Burden of Disease*

# Mental Disorders and Illicit Drug Use Expert Group

**Chiara Bucello, Louisa Degenhardt, Bianca Calabria, Paul**

**Nelson, Anna Roberts, Maria Elena Medina-Mora and**

**Wilson M. Compton**

**Methodology used in a systematic review of evidence on the prevalence of cocaine use and dependence**

Illicit Drugs Discussion Paper No.15

# METHODOLOGY USED IN A SYSTEMATIC REVIEW OF EVIDENCE ON THE PREVALENCE OF COCAINE USE AND DEPENDENCE

## Illicit Drugs Discussion Paper no.15

## Chiara Bucello, Louisa Degenhardt, Bianca Calabria, Paul Nelson,

## Anna Roberts, Maria Elena Medina-Mora & Wilson M. Compton

# Table of Contents

## Detailed description of methods

According to an approach being used across searches undertaken for the 2005 Global Burden of Disease project (GBD), a systematic review was undertaken for cocaine dependence and use. Standardised approaches to literature searches, search terms, data collection, data extraction, consistency and error checking, and expert consultation and review were taken. These are mentioned below and are all documented in further detail on the methodology page of the GBD expert group's website: http://www.gbd.unsw.edu.au/gbdweb.nsf/page/Methodology.

### Peer reviewed literature

The search was conducted through numerous stages (see **Text Box 1**). First, searches in the peer-reviewed literature were conducted using a strategy consistent with the methodology recommended by the Meta-analysis of Observational Studies in Epidemiology (MOOSE) group [1], using a broad search string to interrogate three electronic databases: Medline, EMBASE and PsycINFO. These databases were chosen after consultation with a qualified archivist. Searches focused on studies of human subjects published between 1990 and 2008 inclusive. No limitations were set on language of publication. Search strings, tailored to each database (including keywords, MeSH terms, EMTREE terms and explode terms) were devised for different subjects areas (see **Appendix A** for search strings, **Appendix B** for search string combinations).

---

**Text Box 1: STAGES OF WORK**

**Systematic Search**
1. Three electronic databases were searched (Medline, EMBASE,PsycINFO)
2. Hand searching of reference lists of review articles and articles of importance
3. Initial cull of peer reviewed literature
4. Short list of peer reviewed studies reviewed
5. Grey literature web-based searches (as per protocol [2])
6. Short list of grey literature studies reviewed
7. *Expert comment* (including members of the Mental Disorders and Illicit Drug Use Expert Group) on completeness of included studies from electronic database search and grey literature search.

**Data Extraction**
8. Data extraction into Microsoft Access Database®
9. Cross-checking of extracted data
10. Web-wide searches for any evidence of use for countries without available prevalence estimates (See **Appendix E**)
11. De-duplication of studies reported in multiple publications

**Expert consultation**
12. Data requests sent to UNODC and WHO
13. List of included studies sent to other researchers with expertise in the area
14. Coverage of data reviewed by ATS experts at UNODC
15. Email sent to email lists and posted on drug research information websites requesting additional data for countries where no estimates were located

---

Second, lists of review articles and recommended articles from experts were individually screened for studies that may not have been identified by the electronic database search. Third, abstracts of the identified articles were read and excluded if they did not: focus on cocaine or prevalence or incidence, include raw data (review articles), include general population samples (school studies were included), included data before 1990 or comprised multiple articles reporting from the same cohort (in which case only the most recent or relevant article was included). Nationally representative studies were preferred over sub-national studies: sub-national studies were conducted in cities which were nationally unrepresentative (typically the largest or capital city).

## Grey Literature

The second stage of the systematic search, conducted during 2008, covered the grey literature. A systematic approach (described in [2]) was used to search databases and websites of government agencies and non-government organisations to identify reports and statistics. Data were collected by one research team member and cross checked by another member of the research team (LD).

## Data Extraction

In the data extraction stage we obtained information about study design and participants as recommend by the Strengthening the Reporting if Observational Studies in Epidemiology (STROBE) guidelines [3, 4], parallel to the CONSORT guidelines for reporting of randomized trials [5]. A Quality Index (see **Appendix C**) was modeled on one developed previously [6, 7] and modified via the 'Delphi method' following consultation with, and consensus agreement by, the Expert Group and central GBD project personnel. Quality variable responses were assigned scores that were summed to create a Quality Index score that ranged from 0 to 15, for each study. Highest scores were achieved by general population based cohort studies that provided age and sex disaggregated prevalence estimates. Additional text was also included in the extraction process to capture the diversity of reported methodology. This was used to determine if any studies with a low numeric quality index score should also be included.

A tri-level Microsoft Access$^{©}$ database was designed to accommodate the illicit drugs data, which allowed computerised cross-checking of data entered; in addition, a random sample of 10% of data sources was cross-checked by another research team member to check consistency and accuracy of data extraction. Quality assurance was also built into the database by using drop down boxes and restricted entry of characters. Data entry was manualised (see **Appendix D** for database manual

including data entry rules). Queries were written to export complete datasets from the database into Microsoft Excel©.

## Searching for evidence of use in countries without prevalence estimates

Searches for "any evidence of cocaine use" were conducted using several major approaches. First, reports and surveys that were referenced in the 2008 World Drug Report [8] were sourced. Second, reports and peer-reviewed articles that did not meet inclusion criteria as sources of prevalence estimates, but which include data on the use of cocaine, were used.

Finally, the Internet was used to search databases and search engines. Searches were also conducted using the following databases: WorldCat, PsychINFO and PubMed; and the following search engines: Google and GoogleScholar, with searches targeted at drug use in specific countries (see **Appendix E** for search strings used). These databases and search engines allowed for the inclusion of a broad range of information sources. Evidence of cocaine use was identified in a number of grey literature sources, including UNODC reports, government reports, surveys, news reports and journal articles; this "evidence" included data on treatment, seizures, registered drug users and reports of cocaine use occurring.

## Expert consultation

Experts were consulted at every stage during this process. Lists of articles were emailed to check for completeness on several occasions during the review. Summary tables of country coverage of dependence, use and any evidence of use were emailed to cocaine experts and contacts at the UNDOC, asking them to identify additional studies to fill gaps. Updated summary tables were emailed on several occasions to the expert group, core GBD personnel and other personnel to confirm data coverage and accuracy.

In May 2009, a "viral email" was sent out to known email lists, experts and interest groups in the area of illicit drug or HIV research, advocacy, or policy, listing the countries for which we had no data on the prevalence of cocaine use and/or dependence, with invitations for comment or submission of additional data for a final check of data coverage. This resulted in a number of additional recent reports (largely from low and middle income countries) that had recently been completed.

## Data grading

Data were hierarchically graded according to study source/methodology (adapted from [9]; see **Text Box 2**). Data were displayed for each country, grouped according to GBD study-defined regions (see **Appendix F** for countries/regions). We categorised estimates of use imputed by UNODC and reported in the *2008 World Drug Report* with no details as "evidence of use" (graded "E" estimates), because they did not meet the primary inclusion criteria requiring details of methods used (or data sources and methodology used to impute estimates).

| Text box 2: HIERARCHICAL GRADING SYSTEM | |
|---|---|
| **A1** | Multiple and varied methods of indirect prevalence estimation |
| **A2** | Three sample capture-recapture, multivariate indicator or back projection method of prevalence estimation. Multiple but similar methods of indirect prevalence estimation. |
| **A3** | Two sample capture-recapture or multiplier method of prevalence estimation |
| **B1** | General population survey |
| **B2** | School survey |
| **B3** | University sample |
| **B4** | Convenience sample |
| **C1** | Expert consensus (including Delphi) |
| **C2** | Rapid assessment or other documented 'expert' judgement |
| **D1** | Government registration of drug users |
| **D2** | Official government estimate with no methodology reported not including government registration of drug users |
| **E** | Estimate with methodology unknown |

**Figure 1** shows the overall search/cull process.

**Figure 1: Flowchart of search strategy for prevalence of cocaine use and dependence**



Note. This flowchart show all articles identified for the GBD study. Included in this manuscript is the most recent indirect prevalence, general population and /or school survey for each country.

## Data Sources and Specific Decision Rules

A number of different data sources were identified through the prevalence and incidence search (search terms were combined to complete the prevalence and incidence search; however, this section focuses on the prevalence search outcomes only). Below are decisions made based on the specific type of data.

## Data sources

### Peer Reviewed Literature

A number of peer reviewed articles were identified from the electronic database search and culled according to the exclusion criteria listed above. Articles in languages other than English were not included as data sources in English were available for the corresponding countries.

### Grey literature

Grey literature was searched to obtain prevalence data on cocaine use and dependence. Decision rules used for the main sources of data are summarised below.

### *Surveys*

In all cases, the primary source of data was used for all surveys for data extraction purposes. However, due to time restrictions when a report presented data from previous years this data was included. For data from previous years of a survey little or no methodology was reported. The type of data extracted from reports was recorded in a Word document to ensure that duplicate data was not extracted from reports.

### *National Surveys*

If data from a representative National study existed for a country, data from a study with similar a methodology and target age group was not included. In the United States, for example, the Monitoring the Future continuing study has provided extensive national survey results on American youth from 1975-2006. These National surveys cover the GBD target years and therefore studies that provided data for a similar population were not extracted.

This decision was made to a) avoid unnecessary duplicate year extractions and b) address time restrictions.

## *European Monitoring Centre for Drugs and Drug Addiction*

The European Monitoring Centre for Drugs and Drug Addiction (EMCDDA) presents several collections of drug use data in Statistical Bulletin 2008. The General Population Surveys (GPS), Epidemiological studies amongst youth/Youth and the schools population (EYE) and Problematic drug use population (PDU) collections are reviewed by the GBD. Each of these provides various levels of detail on several drugs. Relevant information is presented below.

### *General Population Surveys (GPS)*

GPS tables present information on the extent and pattern of consumption cocaine use in the general population.

Some methodological differences exist between countries (e.g. weighting and collection techniques); The EMCDDA advises caution in interpreting small inter-country differences. Of relevance to the GBD are the GPS tables for lifetime, past year and past month prevalence for each of the following age ranges: 15–64, 15–34 and 15–24 years.

More recent data still may be found in individual countries' annual reports, but may be less comparable with estimates from the Statistical Bulletin if subjected to different scrutiny/cleaning practices. Such data will be therefore be extracted only as required.

The GBD team has extracted all survey data available from the EMCDDA summary tables. For Statistical Bulletin 2008, the range of available estimates is from 1990 to 2007, but most countries provide estimates only for select years and few estimates are available from 1990-1995; the majority are for the 2003-2006 period.

For cocaine, all relevant tables were downloaded and combined in Excel to create a master file with LTP, 12MP (PYP), LMP (PMP), methodological information and bibliographic references for the surveys only.

*Prevalence of dependence*

Information on dependence or patterns of use that may indicate dependence is available from the EMCDDA Problem Drug Use indicator (see below).

Extensive methodological information on the 2008 GPS can be found at http://www.emcdda.europa.eu/stats08/gps/methods

### *Epidemiological studies amongst youth/Youth and the schools population (EYE)*

EMCDDA summarises school students' drug use in their EYE (Studies of youth and the schools population) tables. Most of these data are reproduced from ESPAD and HBSC reports but may have been revised and therefore are not necessarily comparable with those in previous EMCDDA and other publications. GBD estimates will be drawn from those original sources where possible.

Additional information from national surveys is also provided, including those conducted by CAN (Swedish Council for Information on Alcohol and Other Drugs), the Scottish Government, and PNSD (Spain's *Plan National sobre Drogas*).

For cocaine, EYE tables report on prevalence of use, predominantly for 15-16 year old school students, and to a lesser extent students aged 17-18 years. Detailed methodological information for *the 2008 EYE is available at: http://www.emcdda.europa.eu/stats08/eye/methods*

### *Problematic drug use populations (PDU)*

EMCDDA provides data on dependent use of cocaine. Some of these data are not currently presented in a form amenable to extraction by the GBD and in this case, clarification will be sought from the EMCDDA. The following excerpt from the 2008 PDU methods page provides further information. For more detail on Problem Drug Use see http://www.emcdda.europa.eu/stats08/pdu/methods:

"'Problem drug use' is defined by the EMCDDA as 'injecting drug use or long duration/regular use of opioids, cocaine and/or amphetamines'. This definition specifically includes regular or long-term use of prescribed opioids such as methadone, but does not include their rare or irregular use, nor the use of ecstasy or cannabis. Existing estimates of problem drug use are often limited to opioid and polydrug use. As a reaction to a growing stimulants problem, as well as a growing number of cannabis-related treatment demands, the EMCDDA is currently examining the possibilities of breakdowns by main drug, as well as the best way of estimating the population of intensive and/or long-term, possibly dependent or problematic, users of cannabis."

Some of the problem drug use studies present data specifically for cocaine; these estimates have been extracted. Many of the estimates are of 'problem drug use', which includes a combination of opioids, amphetamine and/or cocaine.

## *HBSC (Health Behaviour in School-Aged Children)*

The Health Behaviour in School-Aged Children project (HBSC) is a cross-national research study undertaken in collaboration with the WHO Regional Office for Europe. Seven surveys have been

conducted: 1983/84, 1985/86 and every four years since, making use of a common research protocol. The number of HBSC member countries has increased each survey year. Once enrolled, member states appear to have participated in each subsequent survey, with two exceptions: Northern Ireland, which last participated in 1997-98, and Belgium, which provided national data in 1989-90 but has since provided sub-national data.

The Health behaviour in School-aged Children project (HBSC) introduced mandatory cannabis questions for the first time in 2001/02; other drugs are included only in two optional extended questionnaires (Ext and Short) with questions based on the ESPAD questionnaire. EMCDDA tables EYE-2 and EYE-3 provide a limited selection of cocaine lifetime prevalence estimates for 15-16 and 17-18 year olds in Belgium, Czech Republic, Luxembourg and Wales.

Dependence is not assessed by the HBSC.

## ESPAD (European School Survey Project on Alcohol and Other Drugs)

Cross-national survey conducted every fourth year from 1995. Results from the 2007 survey (of 35 countries) will be published December 2008; results from new member countries' 2008 data collection will be published in 2009. The GBD project has extracted all available data ESPAD data.

ESPAD does not provide dependence data. Prevalence data typically includes the following*. For 15-16 year old school students (boys, girls and totals) in the 2003 survey:

- Lifetime prevalence of cocaine and crack use in Austria, Belgium, Bulgaria, Croatia, Cyprus, Czech Republic, Denmark, Estonia, Faroe Islands, Finland, France, Germany, Greece, Greenland, Hungary, Iceland, Ireland, Isle of Man, Italy, Latvia, Lithuania, Malta, Netherlands, Norway, Poland, Portugal, Romania, Russian Federation, Slovakia, Slovenia, Sweden, Switzerland, Turkey, Ukraine, and the United Kingdom [10].

For 17-18 year old school students (boys, girls, and total) in the 2003 survey:

- Lifetime prevalence for cocaine and crack use in France

- Lifetime, past year and past month prevalence for cocaine and crack use in Greece, Italy, Latvia, Poland, Slovak Republic and Sweden [11].

*Rarely, member countries may provide an incomplete set of estimates for the drugs of interest to the GBD.

### *Additional data not presented in summary reports*

For the GBD, ESPAD data is extracted only from the international summary reports (e.g.[10]) or from summary tables provided on the EMCDDA website. EMCDDA reports that ESPAD prevalence figures taken from published ESPAD reports may differ from those reported directly by Member States. Therefore, where data missing from the summary reports is found in national reports (or other publications), extraction will occur only after methodological and reporting differences have been confirmed, possibly after translation.

### *World Mental Health Survey*

The World Mental Health Survey Initiative is a project of the Assessment, Classification, and Epidemiology (ACE) Group at the World Health Organization.  The surveys collect accurate and reliable statistics on mental and addictive disorders and treatment.  All surveys were based on multistage household probability samples.  National sample sizes range from 2,372 (Netherlands) to 12992 (New Zealand), with the total sample over 130 000 participants.

Data on cocaine use is available for Belgium, Brazil, Bulgaria, China, Colombia, France, Germany, Iraq, Israel, Italy, Japan, Lebanon, Mexico, United States of America, Netherlands, Nigeria, New Zealand, Pondicherry region of India, Romania, South Africa, Spain and Ukraine. Data on past year prevalence of dependence among past year users as well as lifetime and past year prevalence of dependence among lifetime users is also available and will be incorporated at a later time.

## *Data accuracy and data precision decision rules*

### *Data precision rule*

There is variability in the precision of estimates reported for different studies and by different reports. Many report prevalence data as integers, while others provide estimates more precisely to one or more decimal points. Estimates rounded to whole numbers may deviate as much as +/-0.5% from actual estimates, consequently the following rules are applied to the collection and extraction of estimates for the GBD project:

1. Data will be entered as it is presented by each report, to the maximum precision of three decimal points. (Greater precision is infrequently if at all observed)

2. Rounding will only occur where more than three decimal points are provided.

3. Where multiple figures varying only in precision are presented for the same estimate, the most precise estimate (only) will be used in subsequent analyses.

### *Documentation of data errors and inconsistencies*

Small inconsistencies between data-points in different EMCDDA tables and between EMCDDA tables and printed reported are occasionally noted. Details of these are available from the GBD team on request.

## References

1.    Stroup, D.F., et al., *Meta-analysis of observational studies in epidemiology: A proposal for reporting.* JAMA, 2000. **293**(15): p. 2008-12.

2.    Calabria, B., et al. *Searching the grey literature to access information on drug and alcohol research: A resource to identify drug related databases and websites*. National Drug and Alcohol Research Centre Technical Report Number 293    2008; Available from: <http://www.gbd.unsw.edu.au/gbdweb.nsf/resources/GreyLiteratureTechReportNo.293/$file/NDARC+Tech+Report+No.293+-+Grey+Literature+-+May+2008.pdf>

3.    Vandenbroucke, J.P., et al., *Strengthinging the Reporting of Observational Studies in Epidemiology (STROBE): Explanation and elaboration.* PLOS Medicine, 2007. **4**(10): p. 1628-1654.

4.    von Elm, E., et al., *The Strengthening the Reporting of Observational Studies in Epidemiology (STROBE) statement: Guidelines for reporting observational studies.* The Lancet, 2007. **370**: p. 1453-1457.

5.    Mohler, D.M., et al., *The CONSORT statement: Revisisted recomendations for improving the quality of reports of parallel-group randomised trials.* The Lancet, 2001. **357**: p. 1191-1194.

6.    Saha, S., et al, *Modelling disease frequency measures in schizophrenia epidemiology.* Schizophrenia Research, 2008. **104**: p. 246-254.

7.    McGrath, J., et al., *A systematic review of the incidence of schizophrenia: The distribution of rates and the influence of sex, urbanicity, migrant staus and methodology.* BMC Medicine, 2004. **2**(13): p. 1741.

8.    United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime, *World Drug Report 2008*. 2008, United Nations: Vienna.

9.    Mathers, B.M., et al., *Global epidemiology of injecting drug use and HIV among people who inject drugs: A systematic review.* The Lancet, 2008. **372**(9651): p. 1733-1745.

10.   Hibell, B., Andersson, B., Bjarnason, T., Ahlstrom, S., Balakireva, O., Kokkevi, A., Morgan, M., *The ESPAD Report 2003. Alcohol and other drug use among students in 35 European countries*. 2004, The Swedish Council for Infomation on Alcohol and Oter Drugs (CAN) and the Pompidou Group at the Council of Europe: Stockholm, Sweden.

11.   Anderson, B., Hibell, B., Beck, F., Choquet, M., Kokkevi, A., Fotiou, A., Molinaro, S., Nocair, A., Sieroslawski, J., Trapencieris, M., *Alcohol and drug use among European 17-18 year old students. Data from the ESPAD project*. 2007, ESPAD: Stockholm, Sweden.

## Appendix A: Search strings for peer reviewed searches

| Database | Search group | Search terms |
|---|---|---|
| Medline* | Cocaine | Cocaine |
| | | **exp Cocaine-Related Disorders/ or exp Cocaine/ or exp Crack Cocaine/** |
| | Gold standard Epidemiology | "prevalence" OR "inciden$" OR "epidemiolog$" OR "history" or "patterns" OR "survey$" OR "data collection$" OR "screening" OR "cohort" OR "population study" OR "population sample" OR "surveillance" OR "community sample" OR "statistics" OR "duration" OR "severity" OR "chronic" OR "long-term" OR "prolonged" |
| | | **exp Epidemiology/ or Exp prevalence/ or exp Incidence/ or exp sex distribution/ or exp age distribution/ or exp epidemiologic methods/ or exp ethnology/ or exp Statistics/ or exp data collection/ or exp health surveys/ or exp health care surveys/ or exp interviews/ or exp narration/ or exp questionnaires/ or exp records/ or exp registries/ or exp disease notification/ or exp epidemiologic studies/ or exp cohort studies/ or exp longitudinal studies/ or exp follow-up studies/ or exp prospective studies/ or exp cross-sectional studies/ or exp sampling studies/ or exp focus groups/** |
| | Basic epidemiology | (inciden$ or prevalen$ or epidemiolog$) |
| | | **Exp Epidemiology/ or exp prevalence/ or exp Incidence/** |
| | Cohort | "cohort" OR "longitudinal" OR "incidence" OR "prospective" OR "follow-up" |
| | | **exp cohort studies/ or exp longitudinal studies/ or exp follow-up studies/ or exp prospective studies/** |
| | Drug Use | drug abuse$ OR drug use$ OR drug misuse$ OR drug dependenc$ OR substance abuse$ OR substance use$ OR substance misuse$ OR substance dependenc$ OR addict$ |
| | | **Exp Substance-related disorders/** |
| EMBASE# | Cocaine | Cocaine |
| | | **exp Cocaine Derivative/ or exp Cocaine/ or exp Cocaine Dependence/** |
| | Gold standard Epidemiology | "prevalence" OR "incidence" OR "epidemiolog$" OR "data collection" Or "Survey" OR "surveillance" OR "screening" OR "population study" OR "population sample" OR "population survey" OR "population surveillance" OR "community sample" OR "RAR" OR "rapid assessment" OR "situation$ assessment" OR "statistics" |
| | | **exp PREVALENCE/ or exp INCIDENCE/ or exp EPIDEMIOLOGY/ or exp Age Distribution/ or exp Sex Difference/ or exp biostatistics/ or exp health statistics/ or exp epidemiological data/ or exp geographic distribution/ or exp field study/ or exp observational study/ or exp panel study/ or exp pilot study/ or exp prevention study/ or exp trend study/ or exp case finding/ or exp exploratory research/ or exp multimethod study/ or exp naturalistic inquiry/ or exp qualitative research/ or exp quantitative study/ or exp sample size/ or exp secondary analysis/ or exp technique/ or exp triangulation/ or exp "medical record review"/ or exp semi structured interview/ or exp structured interview/ or exp unstructured interview/ or exp observational method/ or exp questionnaire/ or exp open ended questionnaire/ or exp structured questionnaire/ or exp model/** |
| | Basic Epidemiology | (inciden$ or prevalen$ or epidemiolog$) |
| | | **Exp Epidemiology/ or exp prevalence/ or exp Incidence/** |

| Database | Search group | Search terms |
|---|---|---|
| | Cohort | "cohort" OR "longitudinal" OR "incidence" OR "prospective" OR "follow-up"<br><br>**exp COHORT ANALYSIS/ or exp LONGITUDINAL STUDY/ or exp PROSPECTIVE STUDY/ or exp Follow Up/** |
| | Drug Use | Drug abuse OR drug use$ OR drug misuse OR drug dependenc$ OR substance abuse OR substance use$ OR substance misuse OR substance dependenc$ OR addict$<br><br>**exp substance abuse/ or exp drug abuse/ or exp analgesic agent abuse/ or exp drug abuse pattern/ or exp drug misuse/ or exp drug traffic/ or exp multiple drug abuse/ or exp addiction/ or exp drug dependence/ or exp cocaine dependence/ or narcotic dependence/ or exp heroin dependence/ or exp morphine addiction/ or exp opiate addiction/** |
| PsychINFO^ | Cocaine | Cocaine<br><br>**exp Cocaine/ or exp Crack Cocaine/** |
| | Gold standard epidemiology | "prevalence" OR "incidence" OR "epidemiolog$" OR "data collection" Or "Survey" OR "surveillance" OR "screening" OR "population study" OR "population sample" OR "population survey" OR "population surveillance" OR "community sample" OR "RAR" OR "rapid assessment" OR "situation$ assessment" OR "statistics"<br><br>**Exp epidemiology/ or exp STATISTICS/ or exp "POPULATION (STATISTICS)"/ or exp disease course/ or exp statistical analysis/** |
| | Basic epidemiology | Prevalen$ or inciden$ or epidemiolog$<br><br>**Exp epidemiology/** |
| | Cohort | "cohort" OR "longitudinal" OR "incidence" OR "prospective" OR "follow-up"<br><br>**Exp age differences/ or exp cohort analysis/ or exp human sex differences** |
| | Drug Use | Drug abuse OR drug use$ OR drug misuse OR drug dependenc$ OR substance abuse OR substance use$ OR substance misuse OR substance dependenc$ OR addict$<br><br>**Exp drug abuse/ or exp drug addiction/ or exp addiction/ or exp drug usage** |

* 'key-words' in lowercase, 'MeSH' terms in **bold**

# 'key-words' in lowercase, 'EMTREE' terms in bold

^ 'key words' in lowercase, explode terms in bold

## Appendix B: Search string combinations

**Number of articles identified from cocaine prevalence/incidence search combinations**

| | Search terms | | Database | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | | Medline | EMBASE | PsycINFO |
| 1. | Cocaine | + gold standard epidemiology | 5885 | 4885 | 1456 |
| 2. | Cocaine | + gold standard epidemiology + cohort | 1820 | 1053 | 487 |
| 3. | Cocaine | + basic epidemiology | 1699 | 2964 | 816 |
| 4. | Cocaine | + basic epideimiology + cohort | 792 | 647 | 224 |

## Appendix C: Illicit Drugs Quality Index

### 1. Case ascertainment

| | |
|---|---|
| 2 | • Nationwide survey/register/database (not for a specific population)<br>• Multiple institutions/centres |
| 1 | • Regional<br>• Case/death registers<br>• One treatment institution/hospital etc. |
| 0 | • Not specified |

### 2. Measurement instrument

| | |
|---|---|
| 3 | • Interview/self-reported drug use (comment about reporting type, eg. self-report or standardised interview)<br>• In treatment for drug dependence |
| 2 | • Systematic case note/database/reports review<br>• Blood and/or urine toxicology screen |
| 1 | • Chart diagnosis |
| 0 | • Not specified |

### 3. Diagnostic criteria

| | |
|---|---|
| 1 | • Any diagnostic system reported for drug dependence or abuse (not use) eg., DSM, ICD, RDC (comment, eg. DSM)<br>• Dependence inferred from type of sample population  (comment, eg. treatment centre) |
| 0 | • Drug use<br>• Own system<br>• Symptoms described<br>• No system<br>• Not specified |

### 4. Estimate

| | |
|---|---|
| 1 | • Yes (comment on what type of estimate, eg. relative risk, SMR, prevalence, incidence) |
| 0 | • No |

### 5. Numerator and denominator presented?

| | |
|---|---|
| 1 | • Yes |
| 0 | • No |

### 6. Numerator and denominator based on identical epochs and identical catchment areas?

| | |
|---|---|
| 1 | • Yes |

| 0 | • No |

## 7. Completeness of follow-up in cohort studies and response for cross-section studies

| 2 | • High response rate/inclusion of defined sample population (>80%) |
| 1 | • Moderate response rate (60% - 79%)<br>• Exclusions made |
| 0 | • Poor response rate (<60%) |

## 8. Representative of the catchment area?

| 2 | • Well represented<br>• National registers<br>• Multiple institutions across states |
| 1 | • Small area<br>• Not representative of nation<br>• One treatment centre<br>• Registers of specific populations, eg. pilots |
| 0 | • Convenient sampling<br>• Other (comment) |

## 9. Age/sex specific values presented?

| 2 | • Yes |
| 1 | • Some (eg. sex and 2 broad age ranges only) |
| 0 | • No |

## 10. Quality of methods of reporting

| Text | • Eg. translation of tools, interviewer's quality, quality control monitoring, limitations of data, high quality methods used etc |

## 11. Duration of follow-up

| Text | • Eg. Number of years at follow-up – small sample size over a number of years etc. |

**Appendix D: Access database manual and data entry rules**

## Global Burden of Disease study: Overview

We are collecting data to generate regional estimates of:
- Prevalence;
- Incidence;
- Remission;
- Duration; and
- mortality,

for 5 different types of drug dependence:
- amphetamine-type stimulants (ATS);
- benzodiazepine;
- cannabis;
- cocaine; and
- heroin and other opioids.

Estimates need to be made for 1990 and 2005, reflecting the general population.

**Ideally raw data should be used**, however in cases where the study is a comparison against a survey that we cannot otherwise access, then it is appropriate to enter the reported (not raw) data but make sure that a comment is added in the estimates comment box (eg. "data from 2006 report") to note that this data is not raw and that it was used to avoid missing out on the data completely. Please keep note (on paper) of the years of data extracted from the report and give to XX.

## Data extraction
- Endnote libraries contain the data sources that need to be extracted for each parameter (PDFs are attached to each reference).

  o Prevalence and Incidence data sources will be in the same library

  o Remission and duration sources will be in the same library

  o Mortality sources are in their own library

- **Interns:** please enter data into the **1ˢᵗ entry windows only**

  o Estimates will be entered as 1ˢᵗ Entry by the first person that looks at the data, then a second time in the 2ⁿᵈ Entry by the person who is looking at the data. The Final Entry will function to cross-check the data entered for a source. Make sure that the second entry of an estimate is matched with second entry of the same estimate.

- Only enter raw data.

- Do not process any calculations; only enter what is presented in the publication.

- Once you start entering information from a data source, you must extract ALL the data from the data source (please do not partially enter data from a source).

- Data must be entered in ALL fields. If a field is not applicable or data is missing, please enter "999" (see General GBD Database Rules).

- **If an article reports on data from more than one country** – an entirely new entry needs to be created from the Studies Summary window

- Once extracted, please make a note in the endnote library under Research Notes "extracted by *insert name here*, *insert date here dd month  year*", eg. "extracted by Bianca Calabria, 16 June 2008".

- If you start creating the final entries for a data source (automatically cross-checking the 2 previous entries or copying the first entry to the final entry), you must complete all the final entries of each estimate for that data source.

## Prevalence and Incidence specifics:

**RAW DATA ONLY**

Many articles will report older data for comparisons.  Please only extract the data which were the product of the **current** study or survey. However, at present (due to time constraints), when a report displays estimates from previous years of the same survey please extract all years of data. For previous survey year data enter a comment in the estimate comments box, "data from the 2006 report", for example. Please keep note (on paper) of the years of data extracted from the report and give to Bianca.

**ALL PREVALENCE ESTIMATES**

Drug use prevalence can be measured in several ways:

1. Lifetime Prevalence (LT) (ie: has the person ever tried the drug, even once)

2. Past year prevalence (PYP): has the person used the drug in the previous 12 months

3. Past month prevalence (PMP): also Past 30 day Prevalence (has the person used the drug in the last month/30 days)

For the GBD we are most interested in PMP, however, **we need to collect data on all three types of prevalence**, whenever they are reported.  So, if an article reports on all three – please extract them ALL.

**WEIGHTED AND UNWEIGHTED ESTIMATES**

Some papers will report both weighted and unweighted estimates.  Weighted estimates have been adjusted so that the sample is representative of the general population.

Please extract <u>BOTH WEIGHTED and UNWEIGHTED</u>.

Weighted estimates should have the Standardised box ticked, with a comment about how and why the statistics were weighted (if possible)

# GBD Database Instructions

## **DO NOT USE ROLLER ON MOUSE**

- Open the GBD database (front end) file, to the main menu.

- Clicking once is enough, double clicking is not necessary.

## *Data Source (Articles)*

1. Click once on ***Data Sources (Articles)*** to view the ***Data Source Summary***.

2. Headers can be clicked once to sort lists in ascending order, a second click will sort in descending order.



### 1.1.1.  Create a new article entry

1. To create a new article entry click **new** at the bottom right of the screen.



2. Enter data in ALL fields, then click **save** and **close** (abstract field can be left blank).

3. Click **close** in the ***Data Source Summary*** screen to return to the main menu.

### 1.1.2. Edit an existing article entry

1. To edit an existing article entry click on the icon on the far right of the screen that is associated with the entry you wish to edit.

Then

2. Click **edit** on the bottom of the *Data Source* screen to edit existing information.

3. Click **save** and **close**.

4. Click **close** to return to the main menu.

### 1.1.3. Deleting report/article information

1. In the *Data Source Summary* screen select the report/article you wish to delete by ticking the box to the left of the report/article information. Then click **delete** at the bottom right of the screen.

1.1.4.

2. A message asking if you want to delete the specified report/article information will appear, click **yes**.



**1.1.5.**

## *Studies*

3. From the Main Menu click once on *Studies* to view the *Studies Summary*.



### 1.1.6. Creating new study information (following on from creating new article entry)

1. To create a new study entry, that is new study information following on from entering the new article information, click **new** at the bottom right of the screen.

## 1.1.7. Study Detail Section 1



2.  First select the authors of the particular article from the *Data Source Title* drop down box.

3.  Enter data in ALL remaining fields on the **Study Detail Section 1** screen.

4.  Select the **Study Detail Section 2** screen by clicking on the labelled tab at the top left of the screen.

## 1.1.8. Study Detail Section 2



5.  Enter data in ALL fields on the **Study Detail Section 2** screen (including *Estimate Type*).

6.  Click **save.**

### 1.1.9. <u>Reports/articles that present data on more than one country.</u>

Click **new** at the bottom right of the **Studies Summary** screen. Select the appropriate author/date from the **Study Detail Section 1** screen and enter data for one of the countries reported on. Click **save** and **close**.

To enter the data for a different country presented in the same report/article, need to make a new record. Click **new** from the Studies Summary screen, select the appropriate author/date in the **Study Details Section 1** screen and input data. Click **save** and **close**.

In the **Studies Summary** screen the data source will be displayed twice, with the different country shown for each display.

### 1.1.10. <u>Editing existing study information</u>

1. To edit existing study information click on the icon on the far right of the screen that is associated with the entry you wish to edit.



2. Click **edit** on the bottom of the **Study Details** screen to edit existing information (**Study Detail Section 1** and **Study Detail Section 2** may both be edited, change between screens by clicking on the appropriately labelled tab at the top left of the screen).

3. Click **save** and **close**.

### 1.1.11. <u>Deleting study information</u>

1. In the **Study Summary** screen select the report/article you wish to delete study information for by ticking the box to the left of the report/article information. Then click **delete** at the bottom right of the screen.

1.1.12.

2. A message asking if you want to delete the specified report/article information will appear, click **yes**.



<div style="background-color: #bcd">

**1.1.13. Estimate Details**

</div>

**1.1.14. <u>Creating a new estimate entry (following on from creating new study information)</u>**

1. In the Studies Summary screen, click on the icon on the far right of the screen that is associated with the entry you wish to add an estimate.

2. Click **edit**, at the bottom right of the *Study Details* screen.

3. Click **New Estimate**, at the bottom right of the *Study Details* screen.

   The *1<sup>st</sup> Entry* radio button should be selected if this is the first time data has been extracted from an article/report, *2<sup>nd</sup> Entry* radio button should be selected if this is the second time data has been extracted from the same article/report (not by the same person that entered the 1<sup>st</sup> entry), the final entry functions to compare the 1<sup>st</sup> and 2<sup>nd</sup> entries.

   Only estimate information is entered into the database in the second entry, however, article/report and study information should be visually checked for errors by the second person entering estimate information.

4. Once data has been entered in ALL the fields click save and close.

5. In the *Study Details* screen click **save** and **close** to return to the *Studies Summary* screen.

### 1.1.15. Deleting estimate information

To delete an estimate, open up the estimate and click the delete button situated at the bottom right of the box.

### 1.1.16. Comparing the 1<sup>st</sup> Entry and the 2<sup>nd</sup> Entry

1. In the *Studies Summary* screen, click on the icon on the far right of the screen that is associated with the entry for which estimates you would like to compare.

2. In the *Study Details* screen click **edit** at the bottom right of the screen.

3. In the estimate summary section at the bottom of the screen, click on the icon on the far right of the screen that is associated with the estimate that comparison of entries is required.

4. Check that both the 1<sup>st</sup> and 2<sup>nd</sup> entries have been completed by clicking the radio buttons at the top right of the screen. If both are complete click on the radio button for the *Final Entry*, then click **edit**.



5. Entries that have been entered identically across 1<sup>st</sup> and 2<sup>nd</sup> entries will automatically appear in the final entry. Fields highlighted in pink do not match across 1<sup>st</sup> and 2<sup>nd</sup> entries and must be checked and correct responses entered manually.

6.  Click **save** and **close**.

## Queries

**Linking tables from the Access database that holds the data to the new Access database that holds the queries:**

- Open a new Access file
- Highlight Tables in the left hand list
- Right click and select: "Link tables"
- Choose folder containing the Back End
- Double click on the back end file

- Choose "Select all"
- Click "OK"

**To make a query:**

- choose Queries from the left hand list
- Select "New"
- Select "Design view"
- Right click over the blank area and choose "Show Table"
- Choose the table that contains the data you want to run reports from
- Continue doing this until you have selected all the tables containing the data you want to pull



- Use the drop down box in the Table row to select the relevant Table
- Use the drop down box in the Field Row to choose the specific information
- Press the red exclamation mark on the toolbar to run the report

# GBD Database - Data Entry Rules

## *Data Source (Articles)*

| Variable | Database Rules |
|---|---|
| ***All relevant text can (and should!) be copied and pasted directly from Endnote*** | |
| Author/s | First author surname, 1st initial., second author surname, 1st initial., & final author surname, 1st initial. 2nd initial. |
| | Eg. Singleton, J., Calabria, B., & Roberts, A. S. |
| | Insert editors if no authors are stated with "eds." after their names |
| | For EMCDDA reports without authors or editors, type EMCDDA – *country of report.* |
| | If there is no Author, enter the Data Source ID (which is the top field in the Data Source Detail window) and the Country.  Eg. "131 Australia" |
| | When multiple entries have the same authors (eg. Monitoring the Future) enter 1st author name, volume of report (if applicable) and year of publication, followed by list a all authors (as would usually be entered). |
| Year | Year of Publication |
| | Year of Publication can be copied and pasted from Endnote |
| Title | Title of article/report |
| Journal | Name of Journal (if applicable) |
| | For non-journal sources enter 999 |
| Volume | Journal Volume(Issue) [if applicable] |
| | Eg. 118(4) |
| | Journal Volume: Issue can be copied and pasted from Endnote |
| | For non-journal sources enter 999 |
| Pages | Start page – end page (if applicable) |
| | Eg. 115-118 |
| | Start and end page can be copied and pasted from Endnote |
| | For non-journal sources enter 999 |
| Organisation | For grey literature publications indicate the organisation that is |
| Abstract | Article abstract (if applicable) |
| Drug Type | Chose from drop down box |
| | NB: If cocaine powder and crack are reported separately, you will need to type this into the "Estimate Comments" box on the Estimate Details window |
| Language | Determines which language the article/report is written in. Select from drop down box |

| Variable | Database Rules |
|---|---|
| | - English<br>- Other (specify other language in *Other, please specify* field) |
| Other, please specify | For languages other than English specify which language the article/report is written in (Other should have been selected from the *Language* drop down box) |
| Literature type | Indicate whether the literature type is white (peer reviewed) or grey (material that is not formally published by commercial publishers).<br><br>Select from drop down box<br>- Grey<br>- White |

# *Studies*

## 1.1.17. Study Detail Section 1

| Variable | Database Rules |
|---|---|
| Data Source Title | Select correct authors from drop down box |
| Study Type | Select study type from drop down box:<br>- Cohort study<br>- Cross-sectional study<br>- Case-control study<br>- Database review study<br>- Survey<br>- Indirect prev est (e.g., capture-recapture, multiplier) |
| Location | Type specific location of the study.<br><br>If countrywide, type "National" |
| Region | Select appropriate GBD region from drop down box |
| Country | Select country were study took place from drop down box |
| Urbanicity | Select from drop down box<br>- Urban/metropolitan<br>- Rural<br>- Mixed/Other – suburban, etc.<br><br>Only select an option if specifically reported in data source. Otherwise leave blank. |
| Ethnicity | Leave blank |

**QUALITY INDEX**
**NOTE: For mortality extraction, there is a different quality index**

| Variable | Database Rules |
|---|---|
| Case ascertainment | Ascertainment of cases nationwide or regionally?<br>Select from drop down box<br>- Community/nationwide survey/register/database<br>- Case registers/Regional death registers/One treatment institution/hospital<br>- Not specified<br>**NOTE:** For studies using indirect prevalence estimation (e.g., capture-recapture), choose 'Community/nationwide survey/register/database' |
| Measurement | Measurement instrument to determine cannabis use or dependence.<br>Select from drop down box<br>- Interview/self-reported drug use/In treatment for drug dependence<br>- Systematic case note/database/reports review/blood and/or urine toxicology screen<br>- Chart diagnosis<br>- Not specified<br>**NOTE:** For studies using indirect prevalence estimation (e.g., capture-recapture), choose 'Interview/self-reported drug use/In treatment for drug dependence' |
| Diagnosis | Indicates whether cannabis dependence was diagnosed.<br>Select from drop down box<br>- Any diagnostic system reported for drug dependence or abuse/Dependence inferred from type of sample population<br>- Drug use/Own system/Symptoms described<br>If not reported, leave blank and make note in quality index comments that "Diagnosis" not reported.<br>**NOTE:** For studies using indirect prevalence estimation (e.g., capture-recapture), choose 'Any diagnostic system reported for drug dependence or abuse/Dependence inferred from type of sample population' |
| Estimate | Estimate presented (e.g. prevalence, incidence, mortality, relative risk, etc.)<br>Select from drop down box<br>- Yes<br>- No |
| Num/Den | Was the numerator and denominator presented for **ALL** the estimates of interest?<br>Select from drop down box<br>- Yes<br>- No |
| Num/Den Area/Epoch | Were the numerator and denominator based on identical epochs and identical catchment areas for estimate of interest? That is, was the estimate (prevalence for example) calculated based on the sample (YES) or by use of population numbers for the denominator from the same year and area (YES)? Choose NO if the denominator is from a different year or area from the sample.<br>Select from drop down box<br>- Yes<br>- No |

| Variable | Database Rules |
|---|---|
| Completeness | Captures response rates and attrition rates.<br>Select from drop down box<br>- High response rate/inclusion of defined sample population (>80%)<br>- Moderate response rate (60% - 79%)<br>- Exclusions Poor response rate (<60%)made<br>If response rate is not reported, please select "Exclusions Poor response rate (<60%) made" as this option is scored as 0 and make a comment in the quality index comments box that completeness was not reported.<br>**NOTE:** For studies using indirect prevalence estimation (e.g., capture-recapture), choose 'High response rate/inclusion of defined sample population (>80%)' |
| Representativeness | Determines generalisability of the sample to the population<br>Select from drop down box<br>- Well represented/National registers/Multiple institutions across states<br>- Small area/Not representative of nation/One treatment centre/Registers of specific populations<br>- Convenient sampling/Other<br>If not reported, leave blank and make note in quality index comments that "Representativeness" not reported.<br>**NOTE:** For studies using indirect prevalence estimation (e.g., capture-recapture), choose 'Well represented/National registers/Multiple institutions across states' |
| Age/sex | Identifies whether age and/or sex specific values were reported.<br>Select from drop down box<br>- Yes (estimates dived by age and sex)<br>- Some (eg. sex and 2 broad age ranges only)<br>- No |
| Quality | To capture methods that were not reported on by other variables (free text) |
| Duration FU | To obtain more information about follow-up periods and sample sizes when doing so (free text) |
| Total | Automatically calculates the total Quality Index Score |
| Quality Index Notes | Insert any other quality information that has not been captured by other variables. For example, note whether the study is one that uses indirect prevalence methods, and state which data sources were used for this. |
| Estimate type | No need to choose an option here. |

## 1.1.18. Study Detail Section 2

| Variable | Database Rules |
|---|---|
| Epoch start | Year that the study started.<br>If the study only extends over one year enter the same year in Epoch start and Epoch end. |
| Epoch end | Year that the study ended.<br>If the study only extends over one year enter the same year in Epoch start and Epoch end. |

| Variable | Database Rules |
|---|---|
| N | Total number of people in the sample.<br>If the number of people who responded to the drug use questions is reported, and this is different to the overall N, put in the drug response N here and make a note in the comments. Enter the total N in the Comments. Otherwise enter total sample N here. |
| Population | Specific information about the type of population.<br>For a representative sample enter "general population". |
| Sampling strategy | Select from drop down box<br>- Simple random sampling<br>- Stratified random sampling<br>- Cluster sampling<br>- Systematic sampling<br>- Other<br>- Other (Matching<br>- Other (Snowballing)<br>- Other (Convenience)<br>- Other (please specify)<br>- Census<br>If sampling strategy is not reported, select "Other" and enter "Not reported" in the Sampling strategy Other box. |
| Sampling strategy Other | If *Other* is selected from *Sampling Strategy*, indicate sampling strategy used here<br>If Sampling Strategy was not reported enter "Not reported" here |
| Minimum Age at Intake | The minimum age of the total sample at intake.<br>Enter section/survey data into intake fields.<br><br>If the study does not report the youngest age, enter "0" and make a comment in the *age comments* box indicating no minimum age reported.<br>See end of manual for ages of U.S high school and college students. |
| Maximum Age at Intake | The maximum age of the total sample at intake.<br>Enter section/survey data into intake fields.<br>If no maximum age is reported, enter "99" and make a comment in the *age comments box* indicating no maximum age reported.<br>See end of manual for ages of U.S high school and college students. |
| Age Mean at Intake | The mean age of the total sample at intake.<br>Enter section/survey data into intake fields. |
| Age Median At Intake | The median age of the total sample at intake.<br>Enter section/survey data into intake fields. |
| Response Rate (%) | Response rate, reported as a percent.<br>If reported for different age groups enter highest reported, then make comment in *studies comment* box indicating all response rates reported. |
| Minimum Age at FU | The minimum age of the total sample at follow-up.<br>See end of manual for ages of U.S high school and college students. |
| Maximum Age at FU | The maximum age of the total sample at follow-up.<br>If no maximum age is reported, enter "99" and make a comment in the *age comments box* indicating no maximum age reported.<br>See end of manual for ages of U.S high school and college students. |
| Age Mean at FU | The mean age of the total sample at follow-up. |
| Age Median FU | The median age of the total sample at follow-up. |
| Attrition Rate (%) | The attrition rate, reported as a percent. |
| Male N | Number of males in the sample. |
| Male Percent | Percent of males in the sample. |

| Variable | Database Rules |
|---|---|
| Person Yrs FU | Total person years follow up (this is mainly relevant for cohort studies) <br> If person years of follow up are reported by age and/or sex, please record this in the Person Yrs FU Notes box |
| Lost To FU | What % of the sample is lost to follow up? |
| Age Comments | Additional comments about age. |
| Person Yrs FU Notes | If person years of follow up are reported by age and/or sex, please record this here. |
| Comments | If a peer reviewed article reports on an aspect of a larger survey, note which survey the data comes from in the comments box. <br> Must enter text or alternatively "999" if no comments are required. |
| Estimate Type | Select type of estimate from drop down box <br> - Duration <br> - Incidence <br> - Mortality <br> - Prevalence <br> - Remission |

## 1.1.19. Estimate Details

| Variable | Database Rules |
|---|---|
| Entry | Click the radio button for 1st Entry for the first time the data is entered for and article, 2nd entry for the second time the data is entered for the same article and final entry when you want to compare the 1st and 2nd entries. |
| Estimate Type | Select estimate type from drop down box <br> - Duration <br> - Incidence <br> - Mortality <br> - Prevalence <br> - Remission |

| Variable | Database Rules |
|---|---|
| Specific Estimate Type | Select specific estimate type from drop down box<br>- Duration<br>- Incidence<br>    Cumulative incidence<br>    Past Year Incidence<br>- Mortality<br>    CMR (Crude Mortality Rate)<br>    SMR (Standardised Mortality Ratio)<br>    RR (Relative Risk)<br>    OR (Odds Ratio)<br>    HR (Hazard Ratio)<br>    CFR (Case Fatality Ratio)<br>    Other, please specify (specify in *Estimate Comments*)<br>- Prevalence<br>    Lifetime Prevalence<br>    Past Year Prevalence<br>    Past Month Prevalence<br>- Remission<br>    Abstinent<br>    Still using, not dependent<br>    Still met criteria for dependence<br>    Relapsed |
| Cause of Death | For mortality estimates only.<br><br>If mortality, "other, please specify" put details in *Estimates Comments* |
| Estimate Comments | Add extra information that is not captured by other variables.<br><br>If cocaine powder and crack cocaine are reported separately, type "Crack cocaine" or "Cocaine powder" here |
| **SUMMARY** | |
| Drug | Indicates use or dependence, select from drop down box<br>- Use<br>- Dependence<br>- Other (eg. abuse – specify in *Estimate Comments*) |
| Year | Year of estimate<br><br>If data were collected across 2 years (eg: July 2004 until May 2005) enter "0405" (this includes mortality cohorts).<br><br>If no year of estimate is stated then insert the publication year minus 2 years |

| Variable | Database Rules |
|---|---|
| Age Lower | Minimum age of age group for which estimate is reported. |
| | If only reporting for one age, put the same age in *Age Lower* and *Age Upper*. |
| | If estimate applies to entire sample, enter the youngest age from the age range |
| | If the study does not report the youngest age, enter "0" and make a comment in the *age comments* box indicating no minimum age reported. |
| | See end of manual for ages of U.S high school and college students. |
| Age Upper | Maximum age of age group for which estimate is reported. |
| | If only reporting for one age, put the same age in *Age Lower* and *Age Upper*. |
| | If estimate applies to entire sample, enter the oldest age from the age range |
| | If no maximum age is reported, enter "99" and make a comment in the *age comments box* indicating no maximum age reported. |
| | See end of manual for ages of U.S high school and college students. |
| **FEMALE** | |
| Estimate | Estimate reported for females (eg. past year prevalence) |
| CI Confidence | Type of confidence interval used, as a percent. |
| | Eg. For a 95% CI, 95 would be entered |
| CI Lower | Lower limit of the confidence interval |
| CI Upper | Upper limit of the confidence interval |
| Numerator | Numerator of the estimate, if reported. |
| Denominator | Denominator **of the estimate**, if reported. |
| Standard error | Standard error of the estimate. |
| Radix | Indicate how estimates are given, uniformly per 10* of population. e.g. per 100000 or 100 |
| Standardised | Tick box if the estimate standardised. |
| | Leave the box blank if the estimate is not standardised. |
| How Standard | If the estimate is standardised, indicate how/ by what. |
| **MALE** | |
| Estimate | Estimate reported for males (eg. past year prevalence) |
| CI Confidence | Type of confidence interval used, as a percent. |
| | Eg. For a 95% CI, 95 would be entered |
| CI Lower | Lower limit of the confidence interval |
| CI Upper | Upper limit of the confidence interval |
| Numerator | Numerator of the estimate, if reported. |

| Variable | Database Rules |
| --- | --- |
| Denominator | Denominator of the estimate, if reported. |
| Standard error | Standard error of the estimate. |
| Radix | Indicate how estimates are given, uniformly per 10* of population. e.g. per 100000 or 100 |
| Standardised | Tick box if the estimate standardised. Leave the box blank if the estimate is not standardised. |
| How Standard | If the estimate is standardised, indicate how/ by what. |
| **TOTAL** | |
| Estimate | Estimate reported for both males and females combined (eg. past year prevalence) |
| CI Confidence | Type of confidence interval used, as a percent. Eg. For a 95% CI, 95 would be entered |
| CI Lower | Lower limit of the confidence interval |
| CI Upper | Upper limit of the confidence interval |
| Numerator | Numerator of the estimate, if reported. |
| Denominator | Denominator of the estimate, if reported. |
| Standard error | Standard error of the estimate. |
| Radix | Indicate how estimates are given, uniformly per 10* of population. e.g. per 100000 or 100 |
| Standardised | Tick box if the estimate standardised. Leave the box blank if the estimate is not standardised. |
| How Standard | If the estimate is standardised, indicate how/ by what. |

## General GBD Database Rules

| Situation | Entry | Comments |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Missing data/not applicable | 999 | All fields in the database must be completed. Enter the missing data code if field is not applicable or study does not report on a particular variable |
| **For EMCDDA Data; These are the standardised rules for entering EMCDDA** | | |
| Location | "National" unless otherwise specified | |
| Urbanicity | "Mixed/other" unless otherwise specified | |
| Ethnicity | Left blank as no general rule is applicable | |
| Case Ascertainment | "Community/Nationwide survey/Register/Database" | |
| Measurement | "Interview/Self-reported Drug Use/In treatment for Drug Dependence | |
| **Diagnosis** | "Drug use/own system/ symptoms described" | |

| Completeness | Left blank unless specified |
| --- | --- |
| Representativeness | "Well represented/ national registers/ multiple institutions across states" |
|  |  |

## Ages for U.S High School and College Students

|  | High school students | | College students |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | 8<sup>th</sup> grade | 13-14 years | |
| Freshman | 9<sup>th</sup> grade | 14-15 years | 18-19 years |
| Sophomores | 10<sup>th</sup> grade | 15-16 years | 19-20 years |
| Juniors | 11<sup>th</sup> grade | 16-17 years | 20-21 years |
| Seniors | 12<sup>th</sup> grade | 17-18 years | 21-22 years |

For further information data extraction and the Access database see also:

http://www.gbd.unsw.edu.au/gbdweb.nsf/resources/Methodology_pt3c_Drugs/$file/GBD_Methodology_pt3b_IllicitDrugs_08Oct08.pdf

## Appendix E: Search strings for any evidence of use in specific countries

| Databases/Search Engine | | Search Group | Search terms |
|---|---|---|---|
| GoogleScholar | | Cocaine | Cocaine |
| | | Drug use | "drug use" OR "drug abuse" OR "substance use" OR "substance abuse" |
| | | Country | *"country name"* |
| WorldCat/ PsychINFO | PubMed/ | Cocaine | Cocaine |
| | | Drug use | "drug use" OR "drug abuse" OR "substance use" OR "substance abuse" |
| | | Country | *"country name"* |

## Appendix F: Global Burden of Disease country and region list

| The 21 Global Burden of Disease (2005) Regions |
| --- |

**ASIA PACIFIC, HIGH INCOME**

~

Brunei

Japan

Republic of Korea

Singapore


**ASIA, CENTRAL**

~

Armenia

Azerbaijan

Georgia

Kazakhstan

Kyrgyzstan

Mongolia

Tajikistan

Turkmenistan

Uzbekistan


**ASIA, EAST**

~

China

Democratic People's Republic of Korea

Hong Kong

Taiwan


**ASIA, SOUTH**

~

Afghanistan

Bangladesh

Bhutan

India

Nepal

Pakistan


**ASIA, SOUTHEAST**

~

Cambodia

Indonesia

Lao People's Democratic Republic

Malaysia

Maldives

Mauritius

Mayotte

Myanmar

Philippines

Seychelles

Sri Lanka

Thailand

Timore Leste

Viet Nam

**AUSTRALASIA**

~

Australia

New Zealand


**CARIBBEAN**

~

Anguilla

Antigua and Barbuda

Aruba

Bahamas

Barbados

Belize

Bermuda

British Virgin Islands

Cayman Islands

Cuba

Dominica

Dominican Republic

French Guiana

Grenada

Guadaloupe

Guyana

Haiti

Jamaica

Martinique

Montserrat

Netherlands Antilles

Saint Kitts and Nevis

St. Lucia

St. Vincent

Suriname

Trinidad and Tobago

Turks and Caicos Islands

**EUROPE, CENTRAL**

~

Albania

Bosnia and Herzegovina

Bulgaria

Croatia

Czech Republic

Hungary

Poland

Romania

Serbia and Montenegro

Slovakia

Slovenia

The Former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia

**EUROPE, EASTERN**

~

Belarus

Estonia

Latvia

Lithuania

Republic of Moldova

Russian Federation

Ukraine

**EUROPE, WESTERN**

~

Andorra

Austria

Belgium

Channel Islands

Cyprus

Denmark

Faeroe Islands

Finland

France

Germany

Gibraltar

Greece

Greenland

Holy See

Iceland

Ireland

Isle of Man

Israel

Italy

Liechtenstein

Luxembourg

Malta

Monaco

Netherlands

Norway

Portugal

Saint Pierre et Miquelon

San Marino

Spain

Sweden

Switzerland

United Kingdom


**LATIN AMERICA, ANDEAN**

~

Bolivia

Ecuador

Peru


**LATIN AMERICA, CENTRAL**

~

Colombia

Costa Rica

El Salvador

Guatemala

Honduras

Mexico

Nicaragua

Panama

Venezuela


**LATIN AMERICA, SOUTHERN**

~

Argentina

Chile

Falkland Islands (Malvinas)

Uruguay


**LATIN AMERICA, TROPICAL**

~

Brazil

Paraguay


**NORTH AFRICA / MIDDLE EAST**

~

Algeria

Bahrain

Egypt

Iran (Islamic Republic of)

Iraq

Jordan

Kuwait

Lebanon

Libyan Arab Jamahiriya

Morocco

Occupied Palestinian Territory

Oman

Qatar

Saudi Arabia

Syrian Arab Republic

Tunisia

Turkey

United Arab Emirates

Western Sahara

Yemen


**NORTH AMERICA, HIGH INCOME**

~

Canada

United States of America


**OCEANIA**

~

American Samoa

Cook Islands

Fiji

French Polynesia

Guam

Kiribati

Marshall Islands

Micronesia (Federated States of)

Nauru

New Caledonia

Niue

Northern Mariana Islands

Palau

Papua New Guinea

Pitcairn

Samoa

Solomon Islands

Tokelau

Tonga

Tuvalu

Vanuatu

Wallis and Futuna Islands

**SUB-SAHARAN AFRICA, EAST**

~

Burundi

Comoros

Djibouti

Eritrea

Ethiopia

Kenya

Madagascar

Malawi

Mozambique

Rwanda

Somalia

Sudan

Uganda

United Republic of Tanzania

Zambia

**SUB-SAHARAN AFRICA, CENTRAL**

~

Angola

Central African Republic

Congo

Democratic Republic of the Congo

Equatorial Guinea

Gabon

**SUB-SAHARAN AFRICA, SOUTHERN**

~

Botswana

Lesotho

Namibia

South Africa

Swaziland

Zimbabwe