



Use of alcohol swabs to clean injecting sites amongst people who regularly inject drugs in Australia

D. Gibbs, A. Peacock, D. O'Keefe, K. Butler, R. Bruno, S. Lenton, L. Burns, & S. Larney

Funded by the Australian Government under the Drug and Alcohol Program



Acknowledgements and Col

The Illicit Drug Reporting System and the National Drug and Alcohol Research Centre are supported by funding by the Australian Government Department of Health under the Drug and Alcohol Program.

SL has received untied educational grants from Indivior.

AP has received untied educational grants from Seqirus and Mundipharma.

RB has received untied educational grants from Mundipharma and Indivior.

No pharmaceutical grants were received for this study.

Soft tissue infections are common amongst people who inject drugs

- Abscesses, cellulitis
- Current/past month prevalence 6-32%
- Complications: endocarditis, sepsis
- Impact on quality of life:
 - Pain
 - Embarrassment (odour, appearance)
 - Stigma and discrimination when accessing care

Swabbing injecting sites with alcohol wipes reduces soft-tissue infections

- Often included in equipment distributed by needle and syringe programs (NSP)
- But little attention is given to injecting hygiene in most harm reduction settings
 - HIV, hepatitis C, overdose prevention are priorities

Aims

1. Prevalence of swab use prior to injecting;
2. Correlates of not using swab; and
3. Reasons for not swabbing.

Design: cross-sectional study of people who inject drugs across Australia

- Recruited from NSPs in capital cities in each state
- Injected drugs at least monthly in the past 6 months
- Structured interview on drug use and related issues

Sample characteristics (n=852)

Male	67%
Indigenous	19%
Median age (IQR)	43 (37-50)
Median years of injecting (IQR)	23 (16-30)
Drug injected most often	
Heroin	37%
Crystal methamphetamine	36%
Pharmaceutical opioids	21%
Other forms of methamphetamine	4%
Other	2%

Substantial minority reported infrequent use of alcohol swabs

- 28% (n=240) 'never' or 'almost never' swabbed injecting sites prior to injection
- 26% (n=218) did not swab prior to last injection

Older PWID more frequently used swabs

- More likely to use swabs:
 - Older
 - Longer duration of injecting
- Not associated:
 - Sex
 - Indigenous status

Not swabbing clusters with other injecting risk behaviours

- Non-swabbers more likely to report:
 - Distributive needle sharing
 - Receptive needle sharing
 - Re-use of own needle
- No association with injecting site location

Not swabbing more common among people injecting methamphetamine

	Did not swab (%)	Adjusted OR (95% CI)
Heroin	22	-
Pharmaceutical opioids	23	1.2 (0.8 – 1.8)
Crystal methamphetamine	34	1.8 (1.2 – 2.5)
Other amphetamine	8	0.2 (0.1 – 1.0)
Other	11	0.4 (0.1 – 2.0)

Among non-swabbers, seemed to be a lack of awareness of injecting hygiene

- Two-thirds of non-swabbers just “don’t bother”
- Other themes:
 - In a hurry
 - Already clean
 - Small number perceived it as harmful
 - Possible that some are using after injecting – “it stings”, “it burns and is painful”

Need for increased awareness of injecting hygiene in preventing soft tissue infections

- Substantial minority infrequently or never clean injecting sites
- Not a deliberate omission – just not seen as important or a priority

Focus on younger/recent initiates and users of crystal methamphetamine

- Overlap between these two groups in Australia
- Crystal methamphetamine associated with binge drug use
 - Increases likelihood of a range of injecting and sexual risk behaviours

Needle and syringe programs can promote the use of swabs and injecting hygiene

- Injecting hygiene receives relatively less attention than other harm reduction needs
- Improving injecting hygiene likely to have highly salient impacts on vein health and skin health – can help to build trust and relationships

Supervised injecting sites also encourage hygienic injecting

- Increase access to nurses and health education officers
- Longer engagement increases opportunity for rapport building
- These supportive environments can be habit forming, and promote safe injecting both onsite and outside of facilities.

Summary

- More than half of the sample swab
- A significant proportion could benefit from swab promotion
- NSPs and peer-run educational messaging could enhance reach of swab promotion
- Increased evidence for increased structural interventions

Thank you

E: daisy.gibbs@unsw.edu.au